

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7  
8 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
9

10 No. C 10-03561 WHA

11 ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 GOOGLE INC.,

15 Defendant.  
16 \_\_\_\_\_/

17 **COURT'S PROPOSED CHARGE TO THE JURY**  
18 **[AND SPECIAL VERDICT FORM]**

19 Appended hereto are copies of the draft charge to the jury and special verdict form given  
20 to both sides on **May 9, 2012**, for discussion with the Court at charging conferences on  
21 **Thursday, May 10 at 1:30 P.M. AND 3:30 P.M.** Although counsel have filed numerous waves of  
22 overlapping and even contradictory proposed instructions in the past, the proposed charge is  
23 based on the way the trial has actually developed, taking into account issues that have emerged  
24 and receded and concessions by counsel. Subject to the upcoming charging conference, the  
25 Court believes the proposed charge adequately and fairly covers all issues actually still in play.  
26 Therefore, in order to give the district judge a fair opportunity to correct any error as matters  
27 now stand, counsel must, at the charging conference, bring to the judge's attention any addition,  
28 subtraction or modification or other objections or proposal for the jury instructions. Otherwise,  
all such points shall be deemed waived and it will not be sufficient merely to argue after the  
verdict that a proposed instruction filed earlier in the proceedings somehow fell by the wayside.

**United States District Court**  
For the Northern District of California

1 Rather, any such proposal that counsel still cares about must be raised anew at the charging  
2 conference. The charging conference shall be conducted so as to give full and fair opportunity  
3 for counsel to raise any and all objections and proposals.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ORACLE AMERICA, INC.,

Plaintiff,

No. C 10-03561 WHA

v.

GOOGLE INC.,

Defendant.

---

**[DRAFT]**  
**FINAL CHARGE TO THE JURY**  
**AND SPECIAL VERDICT FORM**

1. 1

2 Members of the jury, it is now my duty to instruct you on the law that applies to this case.

3 A copy of these instructions will be available in the jury room for you to consult as necessary.

4 It is your duty to find the facts from all the evidence and to decide whether the side with  
5 the burden of proof has carried that burden, applying the elements of proof required by the law,  
6 elements I will provide you in a moment. In following my instructions, you must follow all of  
7 them and not single out some and ignore others. You must not read into these instructions or  
8 into anything the Court may have said or done as suggesting what verdict you should return —  
9 that is a matter entirely up to you. I will repeat only part of the instructions I previously gave  
10 you regarding what is and is not evidence and the burdens of proof.

11 2.

12 The evidence from which you are to decide what the facts are consists of:

- 13 1. The sworn testimony of witnesses, whether presented in person or by depositions;
- 14 2. The exhibits received into evidence; and
- 15 3. Any stipulated facts and pretrial discovery items read into evidence, such as the  
16 responses to the requests for admissions.

17 3.

18 Certain things, however, are not evidence, and you may not consider them in deciding  
19 what the facts are. I will list them for you:

- 20 1. Arguments, statements and objections by lawyers are not evidence. The lawyers  
21 are not witnesses. What they have said in their opening statements, closing  
22 arguments and at other times is intended to help you interpret the evidence, but it  
23 is not evidence itself. If the facts as you remember them differ from the way the  
24 lawyers have stated them, your memory of them controls.
- 25 2. A suggestion in a question by counsel or the Court is not evidence unless it is  
26 adopted by the answer. A question by itself is not evidence. Consider it only to  
27 the extent it is adopted by the answer.



1 toward its side. If the party fails to meet this burden, then the party with the burden of proof  
2 loses on the issue. Preponderance of the evidence basically means “more likely than not.”

3 7.

4 On any issue, if you find that plaintiff carried ITS burden of proof as to each element of a  
5 particular issue, your verdict should be for plaintiff on that issue. If you find that plaintiff did  
6 not carry ITS burden of proof as to each element, you must find against plaintiff on that issue.

7 8.

8 I now will turn to the law that applies to this case. As you know, in this lawsuit Oracle  
9 seeks relief from Google for allegedly infringing of claims 11, 27, 29, 39, 40, and 41 of United  
10 States Patent Number RE38,104 (“Method And Apparatus For Resolving Data References In  
11 Generated Code”); and claims 1, 8, 12, and 20 of United States Patent Number 6,061,520  
12 (“Method and System for Performing Static Initialization”). I will refer to these claims as the  
13 “asserted claims.” The products that allegedly infringe the asserted patents are certain Android  
14 mobile devices and software and the Android Software Development Kit (“SDK”). The Android  
15 SDK is a set of development tools that a programmer can use to develop applications for  
16 Android. The Android SDK includes a set of libraries, documentation, an emulator for  
17 emulating an Android device on a computer, a debugger, and a sample set of code. Oracle  
18 claims that Google itself infringes and is also legally responsible for the infringement of Oracle’s  
19 patents by others. Google denies that it infringes and further denies that it is legally responsible  
20 for the infringement by others. Your job is to decide the issues of infringement.

21 9.

22 As you know, the patent claims are the numbered paragraphs at the end of the patent.  
23 The claims are important because they specifically define the exclusive rights granted by the  
24 patent office. The figures and the specification in the rest of the patent provide a description  
25 and/or examples of the invention and provide a context for the claims but the claims define how  
26 broad or narrow the patent holder’s rights are. It is often the case that a patent specification and  
27 its figures disclose more than the specific matter claimed as inventions, so it is important to keep  
28 straight what the specification says versus what the claims say.

10.

In a patent, an *independent* claim is one that is a stand-alone claim and does not incorporate any other claim. A *dependent* claim is one that depends on an earlier claim by incorporating it by reference and then adding one or more additional elements. Such incorporation imports the entirety of the incorporated claim, including all of its elements, into the dependent claims. For the '104 patent, all asserted claims are independent claims. For the '520 patent, claims 1 and 12 are independent claims. Claims 8 and 20 are dependent claims. Claim 8 incorporates independent claim 6. Claim 20 incorporates independent claim 18. Thus, claims 6 and 18 are incorporated into the asserted claims even though claims 6 and 18 by themselves are not asserted.

I now want to explain how this affects the logic of the issues before you. If claim 6 is not infringed, then by default claim 8 cannot be infringed. In other words, if a claim 6 element is missing from the accused method, then it also is missing, by definition, as to claim 8. If claim 6 is infringed, however, claim 8 may or may not also be infringed. This is because claim 8 adds an extra limitation.

11.

I am now going to instruct you on the meaning of some of the words and phrases in the claims of the '104 patent. You must accept and use these meanings in your deliberations.

*Intermediate form code* and *intermediate form object code*: Both “intermediate form code” and “intermediate form object code” mean “executable code that is generated by compiling source code and is independent of any computer instruction set.”

*Symbolic reference*: The term “symbolic reference” means “a reference that identifies data by a name other than the numeric memory location of the data, and that is resolved dynamically rather than statically.”

*Resolve* and *Resolving*: The term “resolving” a symbolic reference means “at least determining the numerical memory-location reference that corresponds to the symbolic reference.”

1 You must accept these definitions as established for purposes of your deliberations and  
2 verdict. You may, however, consider all of the evidence in the case as to whether or not the  
3 accused product or method meets these definitions. If a witness has based his view on meanings  
4 of the terms contrary to my stated definitions, you should discount that part of his testimony  
5 accordingly.

6 12.

7 Oracle has the burden of proof on infringement. Oracle must persuade you that it is more  
8 likely than not that Google has directly infringed, induced or contributed to the infringement of  
9 the asserted claims.

10 13.

11 A patent's claims define what is covered by the patent. A product or method directly  
12 infringes a patent if it is covered by at least one claim of the patent.

13 14.

14 Oracle accuses Google of direct infringement. Deciding whether a claim has been  
15 directly infringed is a two-step process. The first step is to decide the meaning of the patent  
16 claim. I have already instructed you as to the meaning of some of the terms in the asserted  
17 patent claims. The second step is to decide whether Google has made, used, sold, or offered for  
18 sale within the United States a product or method covered by a claim of the asserted patents. If it  
19 has, it directly infringes. You, the jury, make this decision.

20 You must consider each of the asserted claims of the patent individually, and decide  
21 whether Google directly infringes that claim.

22 To decide whether an accused products and methods directly infringe a claim of the  
23 asserted patent, you must compare that the accused product or method with that particular patent  
24 claim and determine whether every requirement of any particular claim is included in the  
25 accused product or method. If so, then the maker, user, and seller of the product or method  
26 directly infringe that claim. If, however, the accused product or method does not meet every  
27 requirement in the particular asserted claim, then the maker, user, and seller do not directly  
28



1 infringe that claim. You must decide infringement for each asserted claim separately. Oracle  
2 must prove infringement of the claim by a preponderance of the evidence.

3 15.

4 If all elements of an asserted claim have been proven, it is not a defense to infringement  
5 that the accused product or method includes an additional element not called out in the patent  
6 claim. For infringement to be proven, the element must be present as per the claim language.  
7 For example, if a patented method claim calls out three steps to paint a wall, it would be  
8 infringed by a method including the same three steps in the claim and it would be no defense that  
9 a fourth step is also done.

10 16.

11 To prove direct infringement, it is not necessary to prove that any infringement was  
12 intentional or willful. Innocent direct infringement would still be infringement. The fact, if true,  
13 that the accused product or method was independently developed without any copying of the  
14 patent owner's product or method is not a defense. All that matters for purposes of direct  
15 infringement is whether all limitations of the claim reside in the accused product or method.

16 If Google's product or method does not itself include every element in the patent claim,  
17 Google cannot be liable for direct infringement merely because other parties later supplied the  
18 missing elements, unless Google directed or controlled the acts by those parties.

19 17.

20 To assist you on the infringement issue, counsel gave you a handout that identified the  
21 limitations in dispute and underlined in red the elements disputed. Oracle contends that the  
22 accused products and methods satisfies all the limitations of the asserted claims.

23 18.

24 Induced infringement and contributory infringement are two different types of *indirect*  
25 infringement. A patented product or method is indirectly infringed by a party who either induces  
26 another party directly infringe. Only if one party directly infringes can another party be found to  
27 indirectly infringe. That is, indirect infringement by one party must be based on direct  
28 infringement by another party.

19.

In this case, Google is accused of indirect infringement based on alleged direct infringement by mobile device manufacturers, mobile service providers, developers, and end-users of Android. There are no mobile device manufacturers, mobile service providers, developers, and end-users of Android named as defendants in this case. Yet, you must decide whether the mobile device manufacturers, mobile service providers, developers, and end-users of Android directly infringe the asserted claims. I have already instructed you about the test for direct infringement. This question of direct infringement is a prerequisite to the question whether Google indirectly infringes the asserted claims.

20.

Oracle alleges that Google has contributed to and actively induced infringement of the asserted patents by others. Specifically, Oracle alleges the following:

- Google contributed to and actively induced infringement of the '104 patent by device manufacturers, mobile service providers, developers, and end-users.
- Google contributed to and actively induced infringement of the '520 patent by device manufacturers and developers.

21.

For Oracle to carry its burden of proof on induced infringement, it must show that Google actively induced another to directly infringe the asserted claims. Specifically, Oracle must show the following three elements: (1) that Google intentionally took action that actually induced direct infringement by another; (2) that Google knew of the asserted patent; and (3) that Google knew its action would cause direct infringement by another.

If you find that there has been no direct infringement by another, then there was no infringement in the first place and no need for you to address the question of induced infringement.

22.

For Oracle to carry its burden of proof on contributory infringement, it must show the following four elements: (1) that the asserted claims were directly infringed by another; (2) that

1 Google supplied an important component of the infringing product or method; (3) that the  
2 component had no substantial non-infringing use; and (4) that Google supplied the component  
3 with knowledge of the asserted patents and knowledge that the component was especially made  
4 or adapted for use in an infringing manner.

5 If you find that there has been no direct infringement by another, of course, then there  
6 was no infringement in the first place and no need for you to address the question of contributory  
7 infringement.

8 23.

9 For both induced and contributory infringement, the knowledge requirement can be  
10 satisfied by showing that Google had actual knowledge or that Google was willfully blind. In  
11 order to show that Google willfully blinded itself to a fact, Oracle must show that:

- 12 1. Google subjectively believed that there was a high probability that a fact exists;  
13 and
- 14 2. Google took deliberate actions to avoid learning of that fact.

15 24.

16 I will again give you a special verdict form to guide your deliberations. Although the  
17 special verdict form analyzes the questions in numerical order, you may consider the questions  
18 out of sequence so long as your answers conform to the directions on the form concerning which  
19 questions you must ultimately answer and which are only conditional depending on your other  
20 answers.

21 25.

22 When you retire to the jury room to deliberate, you will soon receive the following  
23 things:

- 24 1. All of the exhibits received into evidence;
- 25 2. An index of the exhibits;
- 26 3. A work copy of these jury instructions for each of you;
- 27 4. A work copy of the verdict form for each of you; and
- 28 5. An official verdict form.

1 Remember that none of these items are evidence except the exhibits.

2 When you recess at the end of a day, please place your work materials in the brown  
3 envelope provided and cover up any easels with your work notes so that if my staff needs to go  
4 into the jury room, they will not even inadvertently see any of your work in progress.

5 26.

6 In your deliberations it is usually premature to take a straw vote early on. This is due to  
7 the risk of jury members expressing a premature opinion and then, out of pride, digging in their  
8 heels. Rather it is usually better to discuss the evidence, pro and con, on the various issues  
9 before proceeding to take even a straw vote. In this way, all the viewpoints will be on the table  
10 before anyone expresses a vote. These are merely recommendations, however, and it is entirely  
11 up to you to decide on how you wish to deliberate.

12 27.

13 A United States Marshal will be outside the jury-room door during your deliberations.  
14 If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with me, you may send a note  
15 through the Marshal, signed by your foreperson or by one or more members of the jury. No  
16 member of the jury should ever attempt to communicate with me except by a signed writing, via  
17 the Marshal, and I will respond to the jury concerning the case only in writing or here in open  
18 court. If you send out a question, I will consult with the lawyers before answering it, which may  
19 take some time. You may continue your deliberations while waiting for the answer to any  
20 question. Remember that you are not to tell anyone — including me — how the jury stands,  
21 numerically or otherwise, until after you have reached a unanimous verdict or have been  
22 discharged. Do not disclose any vote count in any note to the Court.

23 28.

24 You have been required to be here each day from 7:45 A.M. to 1:00 P.M. Now that you  
25 are going to begin your deliberations, however, you are free to modify this schedule within  
26 reason. For example, if you wish to continue deliberating in the afternoons after a reasonable  
27 lunch break, that is fine. The Court does, however, recommend that you continue to start your  
28

1 deliberations by 8:00 A.M. If you do not reach a verdict by the end of today, then you will  
2 resume your deliberations tomorrow [CHECK] and thereafter.

3 It is very important that you let us know via note what hours you will be deliberating so  
4 that we may conform our schedule to yours.

5 29.

6 You may only deliberate when all of you are together. This means, for instance, that in  
7 the mornings before everyone has arrived or when someone steps out of the jury room to go to  
8 the restroom, you may not discuss the case. As well, the admonition that you are not to speak to  
9 anyone outside the jury room about this case still applies during your deliberation.

10 30.

11 After you have reached a unanimous agreement on a verdict, your foreperson will fill in,  
12 date and sign the verdict form and advise the Court through the Marshal that you have reached a  
13 verdict. The foreperson should hold onto the filled-in verdict form and bring it into the  
14 courtroom when the jury returns the verdict. Thank you for your careful attention. The case is  
15 now in your hands. You may now retire to the jury room and begin your deliberations.

16  
17 Dated: [ONLY SIGN AND DATE AFTER  
18 INSTRUCTION READ TO THE JURY]

\_\_\_\_\_  
WILLIAM ALSUP  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE